

## **II) REMARKS**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 5, 8-13, 16 and 21 under U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Specification 1,972,246 to Sauer. The Examiner read the present invention on to Sauer stating *inter alia* that Sauer teaches a wall plate (12). However Sauer does **not** teach a wall plate (12). Sauer teaches a **door frame (12)**. This is not a plate at all and Sauer's description at Col 1. line 55 to col. 2 line 68 demonstrates just how un-plate like his door frame is. There is moreover nothing in Sauer to suggest that his item 12 can be anything other than a vehicle door frame or that it can be mounted upon a wall (rather than a vehicle body).

It is consequently respectfully submitted that Sauer is not available as an anticipation of the present invention under U.S.C. 102(b).

Nevertheless a limitation that the wall plate 20 is mountable upon a home wall has now been included in claims 1 and 23 to increase the distinction between the present invention and Sauer. This addition is fairly based upon the specification at page 3 line 11 and also upon the Abstract.

The Examiner further rejected Claims 18 and 19 under U.S.C. 103(a) in view of Sauer or Sauer in view of U.S. Patent Specification 1,086,375 to LaFrance. This rejection is again respectfully traversed because Sauer does not teach a wall plate. It is further noted that Sauer is in an art – motor vehicles - non-analogous to that of the present invention – domestic wall mounts for equipment. LaFrance lies in a yet further non-analogous art, giving rise to the suggestion that it could be considered inventive rather than obvious to take integers from two non-analogous arts to build an invention in yet a third non-analogous art, especially when one publication is 74 years old and has been irrelevant in its art since WWII and the other is 94 years old and has probably been irrelevant in its art since the end of WWI.

Insofar as the arguments Applicant submitted previously in respect of claims 1, 2, 5, 8-19, and 21-24 remain moot in the Action of March 20 2008, they are maintained.

It is accordingly respectfully submitted that all pending claims are in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

/arbarkume/

Anthony R. Barkume  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 33,831

June 17, 2008  
20 Gateway Lane  
Manorville, NY 11949  
(631) 259-9099